



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/049,812	12/27/2001	Eric J. Sprunk	Eric J. Sprunk 7975			
	590 01/12/200 ND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER				
	ADERO CENTER		HOFFMAN, BRANDON S			
	CO, CA 94111-3834		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2136			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
3 MON	THS	01/12/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>		
Office A salie of Court of the		10/049,812		SPRUNK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			Examiner		Art Unit			
		Brandon S.	Hoffman	2136				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the (	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	•							
1)[\times	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>27 Jul</i>	ly 2006.					
·	•	2b)⊠ This a		n-final.				
/ <del></del>		ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 10-19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 and 10-19 is/are rejected	ed.			•			
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election re	quirement.				
Applicati	on Papers		•					
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner	•					
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			Tobiected to by the f	Examiner.			
,	<del>-</del> ' '	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
/.	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	•		4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F		Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			6)  Other:	mant particular			

Application/Control Number: 10/049,812 Page 2

Art Unit: 2136

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-7 and 10-19 are pending in this office action.

2. Applicant's arguments, filed July 27, 2006 (relating to the pre-appeal request), have been fully considered and they are persuasive.

## Claim Rejections

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. <u>Claims 16-19</u> rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a/e) as being anticipated by <u>Van</u> <u>Oorschot et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 5,850,443).

Art Unit: 2136

Regarding <u>claim 16</u>, <u>Van Oorschot et al.</u> teaches a method of updating a cryptographic key used for decrypting distributed data, the method comprising:

- Generating a first key for decrypting the distributed data, the first key of a first length (col. 6, lines 25-29);
- Encrypting the first key with a second key, the second key of a second length,
   wherein the second length is longer than the first length (col. 6, lines 29-31); and
- Distributing the encrypted first key (fig. 1 and col. 6, lines 31-33).

Regarding <u>claim 17</u>, <u>Van Oorschot et al.</u> teaches further comprising distributing data encrypted with the first key (fig. 2).

Regarding claim 18, Van Oorschot et al. teaches further comprising:

- Generating a third key to replace the first key, the third key of a third length, wherein the third length is shorter than the second length (col. 6, lines 46-49);
- Encrypting the third key with the second key (col. 6, lines 43-46); and
- Distributing the encrypted third key (fig. 3).

Regarding <u>claim 19</u>, <u>Van Oorschot et al.</u> teaches further comprising distributing data encrypted with the third key (fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. <u>Claims 1-7 and 10-15</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Menezes et al.</u> (Handbook of Applied Cryptography, 1997, section 13.3.1, pages 551-553) in view of <u>Weiant, Jr. et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,044,350).

Regarding <u>claim 1</u>, <u>Menezes et al.</u> teaches an asymmetric cryptographic processing system using a multiple key hierarchy, the asymmetric cryptographic processing system comprising:

- A first key for performing asymmetric operations at a first rate, wherein each
  operation requires a first cryptographic processing time (page 552, step 3, data
  keys, provide cryptographic operations on user data, tend to be short-term keys);
  and
- A second key for performing an asymmetric cryptographic processing operation
  to update the first key (page 552, step 2, key-encrypting keys), wherein the
  second key is used for cryptographic processing operations for the first key at a
  second rate that is less often than the first rate (page 552, step 2, key-encrypting
  keys, the key-encrypting keys are used less often than the keys that they
  encrypt).

Menezes et al. does not specifically teach the second key requires a second cryptographic processing time greater than the first cryptographic processing time.

Weiant, Jr. et al. teaches the second key requires a second cryptographic processing time greater than the first cryptographic processing time (fig. 3).

Page 5

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine the second key requiring more processing time than the first key, as taught by Weiant, Jr. et al., with the method/medium of Menezes et al. It would have been obvious for such modifications because longer length keys take more time to process, in order to provide more security, with a tradeoff that the key does not have to be replaced as often.

Regarding <u>claims 2-5</u>, <u>Menezes et al.</u> as modified by <u>Weiant, Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the system is used to cryptographically process and transfer digital [voice/audio/video] data in a network (see col. 3, lines 32-38 of Weiant, Jr. et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 6</u>, <u>Menezes et al.</u> as modified by <u>Weiant, Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein the second key is hard coded into the system at the time of manufacturing the system (see page 551, section 13.3.1, step 1 of Menezes et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 7</u>, <u>Menezes et al.</u> as modified by <u>Weiant, Jr. et al.</u> teaches wherein a plurality of digital cryptographic processing systems are coupled by a telecommunications system, wherein the second key is distributed to two or more of the

Art Unit: 2136

asymmetric cryptographic processing systems via the telecommunications system (see fig. 2 of Weiant, Jr. et al.).

Regarding claim 10, Menezes et al. as modified by Weiant, Jr. et al. teaches a method for providing secure data transactions in a telecommunications system, wherein a digital processing device receives information from the telecommunications system (see fig. 2, ref. num 234 of Weiant, Jr. et al.), wherein the digital processing device uses a first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key to perform an asymmetric cryptographic processing operation to decode the information wherein the cryptographic processing operation is at a first level of complexity requiring a first amount of resources by the processing device (see page 552, step 3, data keys of Menezes et al.), wherein the cryptographic processing operation is performed at a first rate of cryptographic processing operations per unit time (see page 552, step 3, data keys of Menezes et al., provide cryptographic operations on user data, tend to be short-term keys), the method comprising:

Transferring a second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key to the digital processing device, wherein the second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is used in an asymmetric cryptographic processing operation at a second level of complexity requiring a second amount of resources by the processing device that is higher than the first amount of resources (see page 552, step 3, data keys of Menezes et al., the data keys are used, perhaps for every type of data they encrypt);

Application/Control Number: 10/049,812

Art Unit: 2136

• Updating the first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key from time-to-time (see page 552, step 3 of Menezes et al.), wherein the updating of the first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key occurs at a second rate of cryptographic processing operations per unit time that is less than the first rate of cryptographic processing operations per unit time (see fig. 3, key B of Weiant, Jr. et al.), wherein the updating includes the following substeps:

Page 7

- o Encoding a substitute first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key with a second key, so that the resulting cryptographically processed substitute first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is decodable by the second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key (see page 552, paragraph below step 3 of Menezes et al., keys at one layer are used to protect items at a lower level); and
- o Transferring the substitute first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key to the digital processing device so that the substitute first asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is used in subsequent cryptographic processing operations by the digital processing device (see fig. 2, ref. num 234 of Weiant, Jr. et al.).

Regarding <u>claim 11</u>, <u>Menezes et al.</u> as modified by <u>Weiant, Jr. et al.</u> teaches further comprising:

• Transferring a third asymmetrical cryptographically processed key to the digital processing device (see page 551, section 13.3.1, step 1, master key of Menezes

Application/Control Number: 10/049,812

Art Unit: 2136

et al.), wherein the third asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is used in an asymmetric cryptographic processing operation at a third level of complexity requiring a third amount of resources by the processing device that is higher than the second amount of resources (see page 551, section 13.3.1, step 1 of Menezes et al. and fig. 3, key C of Weiant, Jr. et al.);

Page 8

- Updating the second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key from time-to-time (see page 552, step 2 of Menezes et al.), wherein the updating of the second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key occurs at a third rate of cryptographic processing operations per unit time that is less than the second rate of cryptographic processing operations per unit time (see fig. 3, key C of Weiant, Jr. et al.), wherein the updating includes the following substeps:
  - Encoding a substitute second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key with a third asymmetrical cryptographically processed key, so that the resulting cryptographically processed substitute second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is capable of being cryptographically processed by the third asymmetrical cryptographically processed key (see page 552, paragraph below step 3 of Menezes et al., keys at one layer are used to protect items at a lower level); and
  - o Transferring the substitute second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key to the digital processing device so that the substitute second asymmetrical cryptographically processed key is used in

Art Unit: 2136

subsequent cryptographic processing operations by the digital processing device (see fig. 2, ref. num 234 of Weiant, Jr. et al.).

Regarding <u>claims 12-15</u>, the examiner takes Official Notice that the resources include [processing time/transistor density on an IC/memory capacity/data bandwidth] because these resources are well-known tradeoffs of resource intensive actions as cryptography.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon S. Hoffman whose telephone number is 571-272-3863. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser G. Moazzami can be reached on 571-272-4195. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,812

Art Unit: 2136

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

вн

Branks Hope

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100